The Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan Civil Aviation Regulatory Commission Flight Operations Standards Department المملكة الأردنية الهاشمية هيئة تنظيم الطيران المدني دائرة مقاييس العمليات الجوية

#### ADVISORY CIRCULAR

No.: AC-28-04-012

Amendment (2)

Date: 29 June 2020

### A. SUBJECT:

Upset prevention and recovery training (UPRT)

### B. PURPOSE:

The Chief Commissioner of Civil Aviation Regulatory Commission of Jordan (CEO) may, from time to time, issue advisory circulars(ACs) on any aspect of safety in civil aviation. This AC contains information about standards, practices and procedures acceptable to CARC. The revision number of the AC is indicated in parenthesis in the suffix of the AC number.

### C. STATUS:

- 1. This AC provides guidance to Civil Aviation regulatory commission Approved Training Organizations(ATO) for the development and implementation of UPRT.
- 2. This is Amendment (2) of AC 28 -04- 012 which was originally issued on 15 April 2019. It will remain current until it is withdrawn or superseded. This AC is under the continuous review and assessment in terms of any updating rules or procedures regarding UPRT.

### D. CONTENTS:

- 1. Background.
- 2. Definitions.
- 3. Incorporating UPRT Into A Pilot's Training Programme.
- 4. Scope Of An UPRT Programme.
- 5. UPRT In PPL,CPL, MPL,LPC And Type Rating Training By ATO.
- 6. Academic Training.
- 7. Practical Training.
- 8. Bridge Training.
- 9. Stall And UPRT Requirements For Type VII5 FSTD.
- 10. Instructors.
- 11. Templates And Scenarios.
- 12. Appendixes.



### D. <u>REFERENCES:</u>

- 1. Approved Training Organizations (ATO) Requirements.
- 2. ICAO Annex 1.
- 3. ICAO Annex 6 Part 1.
- 4. ICAO Doc 10011- Manual on Airplane UPRT.
- 5. ICAO Doc 9868 Training Chapter 7.
- 6. ICAO Doc. 9625 Manual of Criteria for the Qualification of FSTDs Vol 1 (Edition 4).
- 7. JCAR FCL 1.
- 8. FAA-AC120-111 UPRT.
- 9. AC AOC-39(0) 2 August 2016-Civil Aviation Authority Of Singapore

### 1. BACKGROUND.

- a. Since 2001 there has been a noticeable rise in aeroplane accidents resulting from loss of control in flight (LOC-I) events. From in-depth study involving representatives from numerous civil aviation authorities, aviation accident investigative bodies, industry and professional associations, airlines, major approved training organizations and aircraft manufacturers, it was determined that the flight crew involved in LOC-I accidents had often reacted inappropriately prior to and/or during the event. It became increasingly obvious that an effective countermeasure to LOC-I dictated the need for improvements to existing training. This prompted ICAO, in 2012, to form a working committee with FAA and EASA to review and update current training to mitigate LOC-I.
- b. It is now strongly recommended by ICAO that an effective UPRT curriculum must give priority to awareness training as a preventive measure to aeroplane upsets. The focus on recovery training which took precedence before is now seen to be secondary in the overall context of UPRT.

### 2. **DEFINITIONS.**

An aeroplane upset means an aeroplane in flight unintentionally exceeding the parameters normally experienced in line operations or training, normally defined by the existence of at least one of the following parameters:-

- a. pitch attitude greater than 25°, nose up; or
- b. pitch attitude greater than 10°, nose down; or
- c. bank angle greater than 45°; or
- d. within the above parameters, but flying at airspeeds inappropriate for conditions.

Note 1: The above parameters shall be conducted annually as part of FCL 1 (PPL, CPL, ATPL, MPL, LPC) and Type Rating training provided by an ATO.



**Note 2:** These values are not set values but examples that need to be tailored to the aircraft type. Moreover, there is a new definition being considered and shall be published in the next revision of the Airplane Upset Recovery Training Aid (AURTA)

Aeroplane upset prevention and recovery training (UPRT) means a combination of theoretical knowledge and flying training with the aim of providing flight crew with the requisite competencies to recognize and thus prevent or recover from developing or developed aeroplane upsets.

Loss of control in flight (LOC-I) means a categorization of an accident or incident resulting from a deviation from the intended flight path.

*Manoeuvre-based training* means training that focuses on a single event or manoeuvre in isolation.

*Negative training* means training which unintentionally introduces incorrect information or invalid concepts, which could actually decrease rather than increase aviation safety.

Negative transfer of training means the application and 'transfer' of what was learned in a training environment i.e. a classroom, a Flight Simulator Training Device (FSTD) to normal practice, i.e. it describes the degree to which what was learned in training is applied to actual normal practices. In this context, negative transfer of training refers to the inappropriate generalization of knowledge and skill to a situation or setting in normal practice that does not equal the training situation or setting.

**On-aeroplane training** means a component of a UPRT programme designed to develop skill sets in employing effective upset prevention and recovery strategies utilising only suitably capable light aeroplanes.

**Scenario-based training** means training encompassing one or more scenario elements, constructed to facilitate real-time assessment or training.

**Startle** means an uncontrollable, automatic muscle reflex, raised heart rate, blood pressure, etc., elicited by exposure to a sudden, intense event that violates a pilot's expectations.

Surprise means an unexpected event that violates a pilot's expectations and can affect the mental processes used to respond to the event.

### 3. INCORPORATING UPRT INTO A PILOT'S TRAINING PROGRAMME.

a. UPRT is to be incorporated into a pilot's training as part of PPL, CPL, MPL, ATPL,LPC and type-rating training provided by an ATO. ATOs are to update their pilot training programmes to incorporate UPRT and are to submit the UPRT programme and the instructor qualification programme for CARC approval.



- b. This programme should also be kept up-to-date with the latest concepts, techniques and recommendations.
- c. The ATOs may refer to this AC for developing and implementing UPRT.

### 4. **SCOPE OF AN UPRT PROGRAMME.**

- a. The UPRT programme should include clear training objectives stating what the trainee is expected to perform, and the desired learning outcomes. The trainee should be able to demonstrate the knowledge and skill in preventing, recognizing and recovering from an aeroplane upset before being considered as having successfully completed the UPRT.
- b. The UPRT programme should emphasize pilot awareness of his aeroplane performance at all times with the primary aim of preventing an aeroplane upset. Adhering to Standard Operating Procedures and employing **Threat and Error Management** (TEM) skills should be adopted as sound strategies to enhance awareness.
- c. The UPRT programme should focus on achieving trainee comprehension of aerodynamics, promulgating vigilance for detection of flight path divergence and emphasizing the timely and appropriate intervention to correct the divergence. Automation and system anomalies and how they can lead to flight path deviation should also be included in the syllabus of the programme.
- d. Most upsets eventually call for manual handling skills and techniques for positive recovery. Therefore the UPRT programme should include training the pilot to manually recover from an upset. Recovery techniques using automation should not be ruled out completely but emphasis should be drawn to the potential complexity associated with this option in some cases if recovery is time critical. For example, if transitioning wake turbulence, it may be best to leave the auto flight system engaged rather than disconnecting it as long as the auto flight system is performing adequately.
- e. An LOC-I events to date are stall related. Consequently, recovery from the approach to high-altitude stall is considered an integral part of UPRT. Special emphasis should be drawn to the fundamental shift in the context of stall recovery, where reducing the angle of attack (AOA) is the primary action for successful recovery from the high-altitude stall. Please refer to **Appendix 1**.
- f. In their research of 18 accidents and incidents resulting from pilot loss of aeroplane-state awareness, the US Commercial Aviation Safety Team (CAST), which also contributed to the ICAO-led UPRT study team, determined that 17 of them occurred when the pilots did not have visual reference (i.e. instrument meteorological conditions (IMC) or night conditions). Therefore UPRT should include training in the FSTD under visual meteorological conditions (VMC) and IMC, including day and night settings.



- g. FAA, in its AC 120-111 of April 2015, has indicated that research evidence showed that in many LOC-I incidents and accidents, the pilot monitoring (PM) may have been more aware of the aeroplane state than the pilot flying (PF). Apart from active vigilance, crew interaction on the flight deck should be emphasized as a critical defence mechanism against flight path divergence.
- h. Startle or surprise has been a factor in LOC-I incidents and accidents as upsets that occur in normal operations are unplanned and inadvertent, adversely impacting recognition or recovery. Exercises which include the element of startle or surprise may be added to FSTD training. However, to avoid negative training, instructors should guard against inputs which could create or evoke manoeuvres that exceed the limitations of the FSTD.
- i. ATOs should adopt a holistic, competency-based approach to UPRT by incorporating both academic and practical training in the curriculum. The training elements, components and platforms that should be included are listed in **Appendix 2**. Subsequent paragraphs in this AC provide more guidance for the ATOs in their respective scope of UPRT.

## 5. <u>UPRT IN (PPL,CPL, ATPL, MPL,LPC AND TYPE RATING TRAINING BY ATO.</u>

The UPRT to be provided by an ATO as part of FCL 1 (PPL,CPL, ATPL, MPL, LPC and Type Rating training is described below.

### 6. Academic Training

Understanding what can lead to an aeroplane upset and how to recover if such a situation occurs is critical to UPRT. Therefore the academic training for UPRT establishes the foundation from which situational awareness, insight, knowledge, and skills are developed, and therefore should be accomplished prior to training the associated flight events in an aeroplane or FSTD. The scope of the academic training can be found in **Appendix 2**.

### 7. <u>Practical Training</u>

Practical training is for the pilot to acquire practical skills to effectively employ upset avoidance strategies and, when necessary, effectively recover the aeroplane to the originally intended flight path. The practical training 4 component may consist of two distinct subcomponents:

(a) On-aeroplane training This kind of training is to be conducted in suitably capable light aeroplanes by appropriately qualified instructors. The intent of this training is to develop the knowledge, awareness and experience of aeroplane upsets and unusual attitudes, and how to effectively analyze the event and then apply the correct recovery techniques. ATOs will be responsible for risk mitigation strategies for this type of training. Refer to **Appendix 3**.



- (b) FSTD training (for multi-crew transport type aeroplanes)
  - i. For this type of training, the FSTD to be used must be capable of producing the correct effects in the context of UPRT. The FSTD must not be required to perform outside the limits of the **Valid Training Envelope** (VTE) of the type. Replication of the actual type-design response and flight control effects are essential characteristics to prevent negative training or negative transfer of training.
  - ii. FSTD training should be conducted in a Type VII full flight simulator. It should have the fidelity to meet the learning objectives of the training and preferably be (Instruction operating station) IOS equipped to run preprogrammed UPRT manoeuvres and scenarios for ease and standardization of instruction. The Manual of Criteria for the Qualification of FSTDs (ICAO Doc 9625) provides guidance on the approval of FSTD for UPRT. This is discussed further in paragraph 14.
  - iii. FSTD training should follow a logical progression where pilots are introduced to the aeroplane's capabilities within the operating limits prior to training at the edge of the normal flight envelope.
  - iv. FSTD training should include both manoeuvre-based and scenario-based training. Stakeholders are encouraged to seek guidance from the Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) in development of all UPRT training. Please refer to **Appendix 4**.
  - v. Whilst **Manoeuvre-Based Training** (MBT) focusses mainly on prevention and recovery, **Scenario-Based Training** (SBT) should develop perception and decision making skills relating to upset recognition, prevention and recovery, while providing the pilot with an opportunity to use the skills learned in manoeuvre-based training in a realistic scenario. Using evidence-based data to develop SBT is encouraged to lend realism and comparative analyses to the training. Startle can only be induced in SBT. Please refer to **Appendix 5**.
  - vi. Feedback applications which monitor and record operational limit exceedances in the FSTD are effective briefing tools for the instructor. They enhance debriefing where the instructor would be able point out erroneous control inputs.

### 8. BRIDGE TRAINING.

Many type-rated pilots within the current system might not have undergone a specific programme on UPRT. For these pilots to fully benefit from UPRT during training it would follow that they undergo a brief bridge training programme to bridge the gap in their academic and practical competencies in UPRT. It is envisaged that bridge training comprising a classroom session and one FSTD detail should be sufficient to transition these pilots into training featuring UPRT.



### 9. STALL AND UPRT REQUIREMENTS FOR TYPE VII5 FSTD

- a. Stall manoeuvres evaluation relates to FSTD qualification in meeting the training requirements of approach to stall maneuvers. The following stall entry methods should be demonstrated in at least one of the three required flight conditions:
  - i. Stall entry at wings level (1g);
  - ii. Stall entry in turning flight of at least 25° bank angle (accelerated stall) and
  - iii. Stall entry in a power-on condition (required only for turboprop aeroplanes).
- b. The "approach to stall" tolerances in stall characteristics tests apply up to the activation of the stall warning system or aerodynamic stall buffet, just prior to the stall break, whichever occurs first. Training recovery from the full stall should be avoided if the FSTD is not suitably qualified for the exercise.
- c. For the purposes of UPRT, the instructor operating station should have adequate feedback about the aeroplane and its controls state during UPRT exercises. This should include:
  - i. FSTD validation envelope
  - ii. Flight control positions
  - iii. Aeroplane operational limits.
- d. The FSTD should have selectable aeroplane upsets to trigger an upset condition. The instructor should be provided with appropriate guidance concerning the method utilized to drive the FSTD into an upset condition.
- e. The following minimum set of upset recovery maneuvers should be available to the instructor:
  - i. A nose high, wings level aircraft upset;
  - ii. A nose low, wings level aircraft upset; and
  - iii. A high bank angle aircraft upset.
- f. The intentional degradation of FSTD functionality to drive an aeroplane upset is generally not acceptable unless used purely as a tool for repositioning with the pilot out of the loop.

**Note:** Care should be taken with flight envelope protected aeroplanes, as artificially positioning

- g. The aeroplane to a specified attitude may not be representative because the flight control law may not be correctly initialized.
- h. In addition to the practical exercises in UPRT the instructor should also focus on improving the pilot monitoring skills which are almost always associated with LOC-I events.



### 10. <u>INSTRUCTORS.</u>

- a. The efficacy of UPRT is dependent on the quality of the instructor. The ATOs are to ensure that their UPRT instructors are qualified through an approved instructional programme. The instructors should possess a sound knowledge of both the academic and practical aspects of UPRT.
- b. The review of LOC-I incidents and accidents is beneficial to UPRT. Instructors should be familiar with the learning outcomes of these events and the pedagogical bearing it has on UPRT.
- c. Instructors should understand aeroplane energy management, human factors (HF), including but not limited to spatial disorientation, somatogravic illusion (or false pitch-up sensation), startle, surprise, effects of fatigue, distraction and TEM. Understanding of these HF elements is critical to the instructor's ability to explain to his trainee the potential physiological catalysts to LOC-I.

**Note:** ATOs should consider including exercises with go-arounds from various stages of the approach as this is known to have caused somatogravic illusion (or false pitch-up sensation) and disorientation effects in actual flight. Although, current-day FSTDs cannot provide the actual aerodynamic effects of the real aeroplane the experience, it is felt, will be beneficial to the trainee.

d. Instructors should go through the practical training of the Training Programme as regularly as required by ATOs to ensure that they are able to demonstrate the correct recovery techniques should the need arise. Please see **Appendix 6**.

### 11. <u>TEMPLATES AND SCENARIOS.</u>

- 1. The templates attached as Appendices have been selected from some of the reference documentation cited at the top of the AC. These templates should be used only as guidance material and are not meant to be prescriptive.
- 2. ATOs may design exercises which best suit their aircraft type and training rationale for UPRT. Some sample training scenarios are provided **Appendix 7** for reference.



### 12. APPENDIXES

### APPENDIX 1 STALL RECOVERY TEMPLATE (WITH ASSOCIATED RATIONALE)

1.	Autopilot and autothrottleDisconnect
Rationale	While maintaining the attitude of the aeroplane, disconnect the autopilot and autothrottle. Ensure the pitch attitude does not increase when disconnecting the autopilot. This may be very important in out-of-trim situations. Manual control is essential to recovery in all situations. Leaving the autopilot or autothrottle connected may result in inadvertent changes or adjustments that may not be easily recognized or appropriate, especially during high workload situations.
2.	<ul><li>a) Nose down pitch control Apply until stall warning is eliminated</li><li>b) Nose down pitch trim</li></ul>
Rationale	<ul> <li>a) Reducing the angle of attack is crucial for recovery. This will also address autopilot-induced excessive nose up trim.</li> <li>b) If the control column does not provide sufficient response, pitch trim may be necessary. However, excessive use of pitch trim may aggravate the condition, or may result in loss of control or high structural loads.</li> </ul>
3.	BankWings Level
Rationale	This orients the lift vector for recovery.
4.	ThrustAs Needed
Rationale	During a stall recovery, maximum thrust is not always needed. A stall can occur at high thrust or at idle thrust. Therefore, the thrust is to be adjusted accordingly during the recovery. For aeroplanes with engines installed below the wing, applying maximum thrust may create a strong nose-up pitching moment if airspeed is low. For aeroplanes with engines mounted above the wings, thrust application creates a helpful pitch-down tendency. For propeller driven aeroplanes, thrust application increases the airflow around the wing, assisting in stall recovery.
5.	Speed brakes/Spoilers
Rationale	This will improve lift and stall margin.
6.	Return to the desired flightpath.
Rationale	Apply gentle action for recovery to avoid secondary stalls then return to desired flightpath



# APPENDIX 2 UPSET TRAINING ELEMENTS, COMPONENTS AND PLATFORMS

Subjects and training elements	Academi c training	On aeroplan e training - PPL(A) CPL(A)/ MPL(A)	Non- type specific FSTD training - MPL(A ))	Type specific FSTD Training LPC/ATP L	AURTA Revision 2, referenc es
	For ATO	For ATO	For ATO	For ATO	
A. Aerodynamics					section
1) general aerodynamic characteristics	1	1	1		2.5
2) advanced aerodynamics	1	1	1	<b>√</b>	
3) aeroplane certification and limitations	1	1	V	V	
4) aerodynamics (high and low altitudes)	V	V	V	V	
5) aeroplane performance (high and low altitudes)	1	V	V	V	
6) angle of attack (AOA) and stall awareness	V	V	V	V	
7) stick shaker activation	1	1	1	V	
i) stick pusher activation	1	1	1	V	
ii) Mach effects — if applicable to aeroplane type	1	1	1	V	nil
8) aeroplane stability	V	V	V	V	
9) control surface fundamentals	1	1	1	V	
i) trims	V	V	1	V	
10) icing and contamination effects	V	V	V	1	
11) propeller slipstream (as applicable)	V	V	V	V	
B. Causes and contributing factors of upsets					section 2.4
1) environmental	1			V	
2) pilot-induced	V			V	
3) mechanical	V			V	
C. Safety review of accidents and	V	V		V	
incidents relating to aeroplane					
<u>upset</u> s					
D. G-awareness					sections
1)	1				2.5.3 and

positive/negative/increasing/decrea					2.6.2.2
sing					
g-loads					
2) lateral g-awareness (sideslip)	V			<b>√</b>	
3) G-load management	V			<b>√</b>	
E. Energy management					Section
1) kinetic energy vs. potential	$\sqrt{}$	V	$\sqrt{}$	V	2.5.2
energy vs. 2.5.2					
chemical energy (power)					
2) relationship between pitch and	V	V	V	V	
power and performance					
3) performance and effects of	V	V	V	V	
differing engines					
F. Flight path management					
1) automation inputs for guidance	V	V	V	V	
and control					
2) type-specific characteristics	<b>√</b>	V	V	V	
3) automation management	V	V	V	V	
4) manual handling skills	V	V	i i	V	
G. Recognition	•	V	V		section
	N N	V	1	1	2.5.5.5-
1) type-specific examples of	V	V	\ \ \	٧	2.5.5.9
instrumentation during developing					2.3.3.9
and developed upset					
2) pitch/power/roll/yaw	7	<b>1</b>	V	V	
3) effective scanning (effective	٧	V	\ \ \ \ \	V	
monitoring)		1			
4) stall protection systems and cues	V	1	V	1	
5) criteria for identifying stalls and	V	1	\ \ \ \ \	V	
upset					
H. Upset prevention and					section
recovery techniques					2.6.1
1) timely and appropriate	<b>√</b>	V	√	V	sections
intervention					2.6.3.2-
2) nose-high/wings-level recovery	V	1	V	V	2.6.3.5
3) nose-low/wings-level recovery	√	V	V	V	
4) high bank angle recovery	<b>V</b>	V	<b>√</b>	V	
techniques					
5) consolidated summary of	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	√	$\sqrt{}$	
aeroplane recovery techniques					
I. System malfunction section					Section
1) flight control anomalies	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<b>√</b>	V	2.4.2
2) power failure (partial or full)	<b>√</b>	$\sqrt{}$	1	V	
3) instrument failures	$\sqrt{}$	V	√ √	V	
4) automation failures	<b>√</b>		<b>√</b>	V	
5) fly-by-wire protection	<b>√</b>		1	V	
degradations					
6) stall protection system failures,	V			V	



including icing alerting systems					
J. Specialized training elements	1-11-1				sections
sections					2.6.3.2-
1) spiral dive (graveyard spiral)	V	1	V	V	2.6.3.5
2) slow flight		1	V	V	and
3) steep turns		<b>V</b>	V	V	section 3
4) recovery from approach to stall		1	V	V	section
5) recovery from stall, including		$\sqrt{}$	V	V	2.5.5.7
uncoordinated stalls (aggravating					
yaw)					
6) recovery from stick pusher	<b>√</b>		V	V	
activation (as applicable)					
7) nose-high/high-speed recovery		V	V	V	
8) nose-high/low-speed recovery		<b>√</b>	V	V	
9) nose-low /high-speed recovery		V	V	V	
11) high bank angle recovery		1	V	V	
12) line-oriented flight training			V	1	
(LOFT) or line-operational					
simulation (LOS)					
K. Human Factors					
1) situation awareness	<b>V</b>	V	V	V	V
i) human information processing	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	V		V
ii) inattention, fixation, distraction	$\sqrt{}$	V	V	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
iii) perceptual illusions (visual or	1	$\sqrt{}$	V	1	V
physiological) and spatial					110-515-110
disorientation					
iv) instrument interpretation	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	V	$\sqrt{}$	V
2) startle and stress response	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	1		$\sqrt{}$
i) physiological, psychological, and	$\sqrt{}$	V	V	1	$\sqrt{}$
cognitive effects					
ii) management strategies	1	$\sqrt{}$	1	1	$\sqrt{}$
3) threat and error management	1	$\sqrt{}$	V	1	$\sqrt{}$
(TEM)					
i) TEM framework	V	V	1	V	V
ii) active monitoring, checking	V	V	V	V	V
iii) fatigue management	V	$\sqrt{}$	1	1	V
iv) workload management	V	V	1	V	V
v) crew resource management	V	V	1	V	V
(CRM)					

Note: Refer to the Airplane Upset Recovery Training Aid (AURTA) Revision 2 for more details. However, the AURTA generally was developed to deal with topics pertaining to swept -wing aeroplanes with more than 100 passenger seats.

Note: References made to relevant sections of AURTA may be changed in subsequent revisions.

▶The FSTD must have the correct modeling for this maneuver(6,7,8).



## APPENDIX 3 UPRT TEMPLATES (FOR ON-AEROPLANE TRAINING)

On-aeroplane training applies to PPL,CPL(A), ATPL , MPL,LPC and Type rating provided by ATOs. Reference should also be made to ICAO Annex 1 as appropriate for PPL,CPL(A), ATPL , MPL,LPC and Type rating. ATOs may propose alternative training templates that can also meet the requirements. Aeroplane performance capabilities must not be exceeded (refer to OEM'S Pilot Operating Handbook or Manual).

Table 1. SLOW FLIGHT TEMPLATE (CLEAN CONFIGURATION OR WITH FLAPS AT 1ST STAGE).

Objective	To fly the aeroplane safely at 10kts above Vs0 and Vs1 without stalling	Maintain selected speed and altitude
Entry	<ul><li>a. From the level flight cruise, reduce speed to 10kts above stalling speed by selecting a lower power.</li><li>b. Carry out gentle turns whilst maintaining the selected speed.</li></ul>	Adjust attitude progressively to maintain altitude. Adjust power as required to maintain speed or when turning.
Recovery	Re-select cruise power. Progressively clean up Flaps and adjust nose attitude as the aeroplane speed increases.	Flaps should be raised only when above recommended speeds to prevent inadvertent stalls.

#### Table 2. STALL TEMPLATE

- A. Clean configuration stall in level flight and recovery
  - i. Recovery at incipient stage
  - ii. Recovery at fully developed stall
- B. Stall with Power and/or Flaps
  - i. Stall with Power, no Flaps
  - ii. Stall with Flaps, no Power
  - iii. Stall with Power and Flaps

iv. Stall in Approach Configuration - recovery at incipient stage only

Objective	To recognize the symptoms of a stall at	
(A & B)	different configurations and recover	
	safely	
Entry	a. From the level flight, select idle	a. Maintain level flight unless
	power	simulating an approach
	setting.	configuration
	b. Select power or flaps as required	b. Observe flaps limit speed
	c. Raise nose progressively to maintain	c. Call out the symptoms as
	height. If simulating an approach to	they
	land, commence a descent before	appear
	raising the nose slowly to simulate	
	stretching the approach and to induce	
	the onset of stall symptoms	ود الأولية الهاشمية

	d. Identify the symptoms of an	
	approaching stall	
	e. Identify the symptoms of a fully	
	developed stall	
	f. Note the speed and nose attitude	
	differences at different configurations.	
Recovery	a. Lower nose attitude and	a. Raise flaps in stages. Do
(Standard	simultaneously	not
Stall Recovery	apply full power.9	exceed limit speeds
-SSR)	b. Use opposite rudder to prevent	b. DO NOT use ailerons to
	further	correct a wing-drop as it will
	wing-drop if it occurs	lead to auto-rotation and
	c. Above safe speed, roll wings level	incipient spin
	and	
	recover to a climb	

Item (9) These on-aeroplane SSR actions are recommended for typical Single Engine Piston (SEP) aeroplanes used in the PPL(A), CPL(A), ATPL , MPL,LPC training and Type rating provided by ATOs . It is not intended to supersede the stall recovery actions recommended by the aeroplane manufacturers (OEM) as may be found in their respective Aeroplane Flight Manuals or Pilot Operating Handbooks.

### Table 3. STALL IN A TURN & SPIRAL DIVE TEMPLATE

A. Stall in a turn (with high angles of bank)

B. Spiral dive.

B. Spiral dive.		
Objective	A. To recognize the symptoms of a stall in a turn and recover safely B. To recognize a spiral dive and recover safely	
Entry	A. From the level flight crews, enter a steep level turn and progressively increase back pressure to induce a stall.  B. From the level flight, reduce power and commence a steep descending turn with >30° bank.	A. Stall is identified as the aeroplane momentarily halting in the turn following the onset of stall symptoms.  B. Observe aeroplane speed limits
Recovery	A. Apply SSR technique (refer to Table 2) B. Reduce power, roll wings level and recover from the dive.	DO NOT use ailerons to correct a wing-drop as it will lead to autorotation and incipient spin



### Table 4. UNUSUAL ATTITUDE (UA) RECOVERY TEMPLATE

### A. Without Bank

- i. Nose High
- ii. Nose Low

### B. With Bank

- i. Nose High with Bank ii. Nose Low with Bank

Objective	To recognize an aeroplane upset attitude and recover safely	May also be conducted in simulated instrument Flying training
Entry	<ul> <li>a. The aeroplane is flown by the Instructor and put at nose attitudes &gt;25° pitch up or 10 pitch down.</li> <li>b. Power is set so as not to allow the aeroplane to exceed the airspeed limits during the recovery.</li> <li>c. Angles of bank in excess of 45° should be introduced progressively after the student has demonstrated competency in recovery without bank.</li> </ul>	a. To create the startle effect, the student may be asked to look at the aeroplane floor whilst the Instructor sets up the upset attitude condition. b. DO NOT exceed the aeroplane limits.
Recovery	<ul> <li>a. Apply power accordingly to correct a reducing or increasing airspeed situation.</li> <li>b. If nose attitude is above horizon, first pitch the aeroplane to horizon before rolling the wings level.</li> <li>c. If nose attitude is below horizon, roll the wings level first instead before pitching the aeroplane back to the horizon.</li> </ul>	<ul><li>a. Recover the aeroplane to straight and level, and with cruise power set.</li><li>b. DO NOT use ailerons to correct a wing-drop as it will lead to auto-rotation and incipient spin</li></ul>



# APPENDIX 4 UPSET RECOVERY TEMPLATES (MULTI-CREW TRANSPORT TYPE AEROPLANE)

Aeroplane Manufacturers (OEMs) contributed to the following upset recovery templates. Although these procedures represent the latest concepts of UPRT accepted by the various safety agencies, any future recommendations by the OEMs will take precedence over the recommendations here.

Note: These techniques assume the aeroplane is not stalled. If the aeroplane is stalled, recovery from the stall must be accomplished first. Please see Appendix 1.

### TABLE 1. NOSE HIGH RECOVERY TEMPLATE

TABLE I. NOSE HIGH RECOVERT TEN	ULATE
Either Pilot:	
Recognize and confirm the developing situation	n. Announce: "Nose High"10
Pilot Flying	Pilot Monitoring
AP: DISCONNECT"11	MONITOR airspeed and attitude throughout
A/THR: OFF	the
Apply as much nose-down control input as	recovery and ANNOUNCE any continued
required to obtain a nose-down pitch rate.	divergence.
THRUST: Adjust (if required)	
ROLL: Adjust (if required) not to exceed 60°	
When airspeed is sufficiently increasing:	
RECOVER to level flight12	
Note: Recovery to level flight may require use	
of	
pitch trim.	

### TABLE 2. NOSE LOW RECOVERY TEMPLATE

Either Pilot:	
Recognize and confirm the developing situation	on. Announce: "Nose Low"13
Pilot Flying	Pilot Monitoring
AP: DISCONNECT14	MONITOR airspeed and attitude throughout
A/THR: OFF	the
RECOVER from stall if required	recovery and ANNOUNCE any continued
ROLL in the shortest direction to wings	divergence.
level15	
THRUST and DRAG: Adjust (if required)	
RECOVER to level flight16.	
NOTE: Recovery to level flight may require	
use of pitch trim	

- a. 10 If the A/P and/or A/T are responding correctly, it may not be appropriate to decrease the level of automation while assessing whether the divergence is being stopped.
- b. 11 A large out of trim condition could be encountered when the AP is disconnected

- c. 12 Avoid stall because of premature recovery or excessive g loading
- d. 13 If the A/P and/or A/T are responding correctly, it may not be appropriate to decrease the level of automation while assessing whether the divergence is being stopped.
- e. 14 A large out of trim condition could be encountered when the AP is disconnected.
- f. 15 It may be necessary to reduce the g loading by applying forward control pressure to improve roll effectiveness.
- g. 16 Avoid stall because of premature recovery or excessive g loading.



### **APPENDIX 5**

# EXAMPLES OF SCENARIOS AND MANOEUVRES FOR UPSET PREVENTION AND RECOVERY TRAINING (TRAINING IN FSTD FOR MULTI-CREW TRANSPORT TYPE AEROPLANE)

These three are constructed using the philosophies and concepts of the OEM and aviation safety agencies. ATOs are encouraged to develop additional scenarios that fit their training needs.

MOUNTED ENGINES	
INSTRUCTOR ROLE	Implement scenarios that result in an unexpected nose-high attitude (40° or greater) with full power.
OBJECTIVE	This scenario is ONLY for aeroplanes with under-wing mounted engines.  The pilot will recognize the nose-high attitude and immediately perform the upset recovery procedure. If a detectable nose-down pitch rate is not initially achievable, the pilot should demonstrate recovery by reducing the thrust to a point where a nose-down pitch rate is achieved.
EMPHASIS AREAS	<ul> <li>Effect of thrust on pitch moment.</li> <li>Recognition and recovery.</li> <li>Crew coordination.</li> <li>Angle of attack (AOA) management, including available AOA indications.</li> <li>Aural and visual warnings (environment and aeroplane cueing).</li> <li>Surprise and startle.</li> <li>Situational awareness (SA) while returning to desired flightpath after the upset recovery, including such items as heading, altitude, other aeroplane, and flight deck automation</li> </ul>
FSTD SETUP CONSIDERATIONS	<ul> <li>In order to create potential onset conditions, consider use of the following:</li> <li>System malfunctions resulting in erroneous pitch attitude indications;</li> <li>Other system malfunctions resulting in a nose high attitude;</li> <li>Realistic environmental threats destabilizing the flightpath.</li> </ul>
SCENARIO ELEMENTS	<ul> <li>Upon recognizing the first indication of an upset, perform the upset recovery procedure.</li> <li>The necessity for smooth, deliberate, and positive control inputs to avoid increasing load factors.</li> <li>Reducing thrust, if necessary, can reduce the upward pitch moment.</li> </ul>
COMPLETION STANDARDS	Recognizes and confirms the situation.  • Initiates recovery by reducing thrust to approximately midrange until a detectable nose-down pitch rate is achieved.  • Verifies the autopilot and autothrottle/autothrust are disconnected.

	D
	• Proper recovery consists of up to full nose-down elevator and
	by using
	stabilizer trim, if required. A steady nose-down pitch rate should
	be achieved
	and it should be noted that the aeroplane would be less than 1g and the
	associated characteristics of such.
	<ul> <li>When approaching the horizon the pilot checks airspeed, adjusts thrust,</li> </ul>
	and establishes the appropriate pitch attitude and stabilizer trim
	setting for
	level flight.
	• The manoeuvre is considered complete once a safe speed is
	achieved and
	the aeroplane stabilized.
	• Satisfactory crew coordination must be demonstrated.
COMMON PILOT	• Fails to disengage the autopilot and autothrottle.
ERRORS	• Fails to reduce thrust sufficiently, if necessary, to obtain nose-
	down pitch.
	• Reduces thrust excessively.
	Fails to use sufficient elevator authority
	• Fails to use stabilizer trim when necessary
COMMON	Fails to notice improper control inputs.
INSTRUCTORERRORS	• If the FSTD training envelope was exceeded, fails to advise the
ERRORS	pilot to
	prevent negative training.

SCENARIO 2: LOSS OF	RELIABLE AIRSPEED
INSTRUCTOR ROLE	Implement scenarios that result in erroneous airspeed indications.
OBJECTIVE	The pilot will recognize the airspeed discrepancy, determine airspeed data is erroneous, and apply the appropriate non-normal procedure while maintaining aeroplane control using pitch and power targets.
EMPHASIS AREAS	<ul> <li>Recognition.</li> <li>Crew coordination.</li> <li>AOA management including available AOA indications.</li> <li>Maintain awareness of and manage flightpath and energy.</li> <li>Aural and visual warnings (environment and aeroplane cueing).</li> <li>Completion of the appropriate non-normal checklist.</li> <li>Surprise and startle.</li> <li>Manual flying skills.</li> <li>Effects of altitude on control inputs.</li> </ul>
FSTD SETUP CONSIDERATIONS	The scenario will be conducted at or near the maximum operating altitude in instrument meteorological conditions (IMC). Use of flight simulation training device (FSTD) capabilities to induce erroneous airspeed indications may include:

	• Full or partial pitot/static blockage or icing.
	Air data computer failures.
SCENARIO	• During cruise, one or two airspeed indicators will malfunction.
ELEMENTS	• The pilot recognizing the erroneous airspeed data indication
	will verbally announce the discrepancy
	• The pilot flying will maintain control of the aeroplane and call
	for the appropriate non-normal checklist.
	• At the conclusion of the scenario, the instructor will discuss
	available
	aeroplane AOA indications.
COMPLETION	The pilot flying will manage pitch and power to avoid a stall.
STANDARDS	• Satisfactory crew coordination must be demonstrated.
	Correctly identifies the erroneous airspeed data.
	• Completes the appropriate non-normal checklist.
	Verifies the autopilot and autothrottle/autothrust are
	disconnected.
	• The pilot monitoring provides the pilot flying with meaningful
	input (e.g., attitude and altitude deviations and trends).
<b>COMMON PILOT</b>	• The importance of pitch control and AOA is not recognized.
ERRORS	• Use of large thrust changes.
	• Failure to complete the appropriate non-normal checklist.
	Over controlling the aeroplane, especially pitch.
COMMON	• Fails to notice improper control inputs.
<b>INSTRUCTORERRORS</b>	• If the validated FSTD envelope was exceeded, fails to advise
ERRORS	the pilot and stop the scenario to prevent negative training

<b>SCENARIO 3: SUB-THE</b>	
INSTRUCTOR ROLE	Implement scenarios that cause an imperceptibly slow roll rate
	(less than 3° per second) that result in an unexpected high bank
	angle.
<b>OBJECTIVE</b>	The pilot will recognize the high bank angle and immediately
	perform the upset recovery procedure
<b>EMPHASIS AREAS</b>	• Recognition and recovery.
	• Crew coordination.
	AOA management.
	• Out-of-trim control forces at autopilot disconnect (if engaged).
	• Aural and visual warnings (environment and aeroplane cueing).
	Surprise and startle.
	• Effects of multiple levels of automation.
	• Effects of altitude on recovery.
	• SA while returning to desired flightpath after the upset
	recovery, including such items as heading, terrain, altitude, other
	aeroplane, and flight deck automation.
FSTD SETUP	The scenario will be conducted at an altitude that will allow for a
CONSIDERATIONS	recovery. Crew distractions may be used (e.g., minor
	malfunctions, air traffic control (ATC) instructions, weather).

	Use of FSTD capabilities to induce a slow, imperceptible roll
	rate (less than 3° per second) may include:
	Attitude changes, • Thrust asymmetry,
	System malfunctions (e.g., surreptitious disabling of
	automation).
	• Dynamic upsets should not be implemented in a manner that
	disables or unrealistically reduces flight control effectiveness for
	the purpose of
	generating or attaining an upset condition.
SCENARIO	The instructor will introduce a situation which causes the
ELEMENTS	aeroplane to enter an imperceptible roll resulting in an
EEEWENTS	unexpected bank angle
	greater than 30°.
	• Either pilot will notice and announce the excessive bank.
	The pilot flying will demonstrate the proper recovery
	procedure.
	• Disengage the autopilot and autothrottle.
	• If a nose high or nose low condition exists, identify the
	situation and apply the correct recovery.
	Maintain awareness of energy management and aeroplane roll
	rate.
	• Unload (reduce AOA) as necessary and roll to wings level as
	the nose approaches the horizon. Recover to a slightly nose-low
	attitude. Check airspeed and adjust thrust and pitch as necessary.
	• When recovery is assured, adjust the pitch attitude to return to
	the intended flightpath.
COMPLETION	• Rolls in the shortest direction to wings level.
STANDARDS	• Returns the aeroplane to the assigned flightpath.
	• Satisfactory crew coordination must be demonstrated.
COMMON PILOT	Recovery is initiated by rolling in the wrong direction, increasing
ERRORS	the bank.
	• Losing situational awareness and failing to return to assigned
	flightpath or follow ATC instructions after recovery.
	• Pilot(s) slow to recognize or announce the excessive bank.
	• Executes improper recovery procedure.
	• Failure to disengage the autopilot and/or autothrottle/autothrust.
	• Slow to reduce angle of attack (unload).
	• Failure to maintain awareness of energy management.
COMMON	• Fails to notice improper control inputs.
INSTRUCTORERRORS	• If the FSTD training envelope was exceeded, fails to advise the
ERRORS	pilot and stop the scenario to prevent negative training.
	part and step and stemants to prevent meganite manning.
MANOFINDE	E 1: MANUALLY-CONTROLLED SLOW FLIGHT
OBJECTIVE	Recognize the low energy or high drag configuration and the slow
OBJECTIVE	response
	to flight control and thrust inputs to enhance the pilot's
	knowledge of the low
EMDITACIC ADEAC	speed handling qualities prior to stall training.
EMPHASIS AREAS	Manual flying skills
	Grow Alexander

FSTD SETUP	Select ceiling and visibility unlimited.
CONSIDERATIONS	• The manoeuvre will be conducted in the following two
	scenarios:
	► Low altitude beginning in a clean configuration, and then
	slowing
	while configuring the aeroplane for landing. This manoeuvre will
	be
	conducted at maximum landing gross weight while maintaining
	speed
	at the VREF for the configuration.
	► High altitude in a clean configuration (e.g., near the service
	ceiling),
	near maximum gross weight while maintaining minimum speed
	for the
	configuration.
	• Target speeds must be below the speeds that are normal and
	appropriate
	for the various configurations. The minimum speed must avoid
	stick shaker.
	Ideally a single speed can be selected for use throughout the
	manoeuvre
	that will permit judicious Manoeuvring without stick shaker.
	Encountering
	stick shaker without executing a stall recovery could lead to
CCENADIO	negative training.
SCENARIO	elevator or stabilizer), bank angle, and power setting that will allow a
ELEMENTS	
	controlled speed reduction to establish the desired target airspeed.
	Manoeuvre in straight and level flight to stabilize speed and
	trim.
	• Turn left and right, and change direction of turn, to observe
	changing
	handling characteristics.
	• Turns through 90° left and right, at bank angles appropriate to
	speed and
	configuration.
COMPT TOTAL	• Climb and descend at 500 feet per minute (fpm) while in a turn.
COMPLETION	• Recover to appropriate airspeed for the configuration and
STANDARDS	establish the
	appropriate altitude and heading.
	• Recovery is complete when straight and level un-accelerated
	flight is
	achieved.
<b>COMMON PILOT</b>	<ul> <li>Inadequate back-elevator pressure as power is reduced, resulting</li> </ul>
ERRORS	in
	altitude loss.
	• Excessive back-elevator pressure as power is reduced, resulting
	in a climb,

orientation.

followed by a rapid reduction in airspeed and "mushing."

• Inadequate compensation for adverse yaw during turns.

• Fixation on the airspeed indicator.

• Failure to anticipate changes in lift as flaps are extended or retracted.

• Inadequate power management.

• Inability to adequately divide attention between aeroplane control and



### APPENDIX 6 INSTRUCTOR TRAINING ELEMENTS

UPRT instructor training elements UPRT	UPRT academic instructor	UPRT aeroplane instructor	UPRT FSTD instructor
UPRT instructor training elements UPRT	V	V	V
Comprehensive knowledge of all applicable training elements (refer to Appendix 2)*			
Training platforms (aeroplanes and devices)		V	1
1) limitations of training platform			1
2) operation of IOS and debriefing tools		V	1
Review of LOC-I accidents/incidents	V	V	1
Energy management factors*	V	V	1
Disorientation	V	V	1
Workload management	V	V	1
Distraction	V	V	V
OEM recommendations*	V		1
UPRT recognition and recovery strategies*	As applicable	V	1
How to do a flight risk assessment (aeroplane)	As applicable	V	
Recognition of trainee errors	As applicable	1	1
Intervention strategies			V
Aeroplane type-specific characteristics*	V	V	1
Operating environment	<b>√</b>	V	V
How to induce the startle factor		V	V
Value and benefits of demonstration	V	V	V
How to assess pilot performance using core competencies if conducting CBT	1	1	1

<sup>\*</sup>OEMs may at some point develop differing guidance regarding procedures to address these areas of training which may deviate from the material provided herein. In all cases, whenever type-specific UPRT is being conducted, training organizations should provide procedural training which conform

to the appropriate aeroplane flight manual.



### APPENDIX 7 SAMPLE TRAINING SCENARIOS

Three scenarios were constructed using the philosophies and concepts described in this AC. They include clean configuration (high altitude), takeoff, and landing configuration impending stalls. Training providers are encouraged to develop additional scenarios that fit their training needs. The examples should be easily tailored to any transport category airplane. The examples given are not intended to be limiting in any way. They are provided as a framework for developing a training curriculum.

SCENARIO 1: CLEAN CONFIGURATION STALL PREVENTION (HIGH ALTITUDE)

INSTRUCTOR	Implement scenarios that result in an unexpected impending stall
ROLE	near the airplane's maximum operating altitude.
OBJECTIVE	The pilot will recognize the impending stall and immediately perform
	the stall recovery procedure. The pilot should demonstrate
	willingness to trade altitude for airspeed to accomplish an expeditious
	recovery.
EMPHASIS AREAS	Recognition and recovery.
	Crew coordination.
	• AOA management.
	• Out of trim control forces at autopilot disconnect (if engaged).
	• Aural and visual warnings (environment and airplane cuing).
	• Surprise.
	Reduced roll stability and increased buffeting.
	• Climbing at a slower than normal airspeed and higher than normal
	vertical speed may result in leveling off at a speed below that which
	can be maintained at the thrust available
	• The role of increasing temperature and turbulence on high altitude
	performance.
	• Effects of multiple levels of automation.
	• Effects of altitude on recovery.
	• Knowledge of the aircraft's high- and low-speed buffet boundaries.
	• Thrust available versus thrust required to maintain altitude.
	• There is no predetermined value for altitude loss, maintaining
	altitude during recovery is not required, and the recovery will likely
	take several thousand feet.
	• Situational awareness (SA) while returning to desired flightpath
	after the stall recovery, including such items as heading, altitude,
	other aircraft, and flight deck automation.
FFS SETUP	This scenario will be conducted near maximum operating altitude
CONSIDERATIONS	for the specific airplane weight and temperature. Crew distractions
	(e.g., minor malfunctions, air traffic control (ATC) instructions,
	weather) and simulator capabilities may be used to induce
	impending stalls. Scenarios from actual events, such as climbing in
	vertical speed mode, which will result in the airplane leveling off at
CCENADIC	an airspeed behind the power curve can also be used.
SCENARIO	☐ At level flight with the autopilot on, introduce an event or reduce
ELEMENTS	thrust to less than adequate for maneuvering flight.

	☐ Upon recognizing the impending stall, perform the stall recovery
	procedure.
	☐ The necessity for smooth, deliberate, and positive control inputs
	to avoid excessive load factors and secondary stalls.
COMPLETION	☐ The pilot will perform a deliberate and smooth reduction of AOA.
STANDARDS	☐ Positive recovery from the stall event is paramount. There is no
	predetermined value for altitude loss and maintaining altitude
	during recovery is not required.
	☐ Appropriate application of thrust to accelerate and enable a
	positive recovery.
	☐ Establishing the appropriate AOA takes precedence over roll
	control (attempting to maintain wings level) for positive recovery
	from the stall event.
	☐ Intermittent secondary stall warnings, but not secondary stalls.
	AC AOC-39(0) - 19 - 2 August 2016
	may be acceptable due to the associated recovery challenges at
	altitude because of the lack of aerodynamic damping.
	☐ The maneuver is considered complete once a safe speed is
	achieved and the airplane stabilized.
	☐ Satisfactory crew coordination must be demonstrated.
<b>COMMON PILOT</b>	☐ Recovery is attempted with thrust instead of reducing AOA.
ERRORS	□ Not maintaining a nose down input until the impending stall cues
	are eliminated.
	☐ Insufficient pitch down to allow desired energy conversion of
	altitude to airspeed.
	□ Pilot fails to promptly recover from a secondary stall.
	☐ Reluctance to sacrifice significant altitude.
	☐ Pilot fails to distinguish between high speed buffet and low speed
	stall.
	☐ Pilot increases the load factor too quickly and gets multiple
	impending stalls or a stick pusher activation.
	☐ Inappropriate use of rudder. Pilot prioritizes roll control
	(attempting to level the wings) before reducing AOA.
	□ Not disconnecting the autopilot and/or autothrottle/autothrust prior
	to reducing AOA.



### SCENARIO 2: LANDING CONFIGURATION STALL PREVENTION

INSTRUCTOR	Implement scenarios that result in an unexpected impending stall
ROLE	during an approach.
OBJECTIVE	The pilot will recognize the impending stall and immediately perform
JB0ECTI VE	the stall recovery procedure, then commence missed approach.
EMPHASIS AREAS	• Recognition and recovery.
EMI HASIS AREAS	• Crew coordination.
	• AOA management.
	• Out of trim control forces at autopilot disconnect (if engaged).
	• Aural and visual warnings (environment and airplane cueing).
	• Surprise.
	• Reduced roll stability and increased buffeting.
	• Effects of multiple levels of automation.
	• Effects of altitude on recovery.
	• SA while returning to desired flightpath after the stall recovery,
	including such items as heading, terrain, altitude, other aircraft, and
	flight deck automation.
	• There is no predetermined value for altitude loss. Maintaining
	altitude is not required.
FFS SETUP	The scenario will be conducted during approach to landing in the
CONSIDERATIONS	landing configuration, at an altitude that will allow for a recovery.
	Crew distractions (e.g., minor malfunctions, ATC instructions,
	weather) and simulator capabilities may be used to induce impending
	stalls.
SCENARIO	☐ At 1,000 feet above ground level (AGL), reduce thrust to be
ELEMENTS	inadequate to maintain a safe speed or descent angle, and results in an
	increase in AOA to maintain glide path. Upon recognizing the
	impending stall, perform the stall recovery procedure. When recovery
	is assured, adjust the pitch attitude to initiate a climb to comply with
	missed approach instructions.
COMPLETION	☐ The pilot will perform a deliberate and smooth reduction of AOA.
STANDARDS	Positive recovery from the stall event takes precedence over
	minimizing altitude loss or roll control (attempting to maintain wings
	level before appropriate AOA is established). Appropriate application
	of thrust to accelerate and enable an expeditious recovery. The return
	of the airplane to safe flight without encountering secondary stall
	warnings. The maneuver is considered complete when safe speed has
	been achieved and the pilot initiates the missed approach. Satisfactory
	crew coordination must be demonstrated.
COMMON DIL OT	
COMMON PILOT	☐ Recovery is attempted with no loss of altitude. Recovery is
ERRORS	attempted without recognizing the importance of pitch control and
	AOA. Inappropriate use of rudder. Pilot prioritizes roll
	control(attempting to level the wings) before reducing AOA. Not
	disconnecting the autopilot and/or autothrottle/autothrust prior to
	reducing AOA. Not maintaining a nose down input until the
	impending stall cues are eliminated. Pilot increases the load factor too quickly and gets multiple impending stalls or a stick pusher activation. Rolling wings level prior AOA reduction. Failure to roll

wings level after AOA reduction to improve performance.
Losing SA and failing to return to assigned flightpath and
complete a missed approach, or follow ATC instructions after
recovery.

### Other suggested scenarios include:

- 1. After level-off from a descent with idle thrust, the pilot either forgets to increase thrust or on airplanes equipped with autothrottle/autothrust, the autothrottle/autothrust does not increase thrust.
- 2. While at low altitude and maneuvering, banking at slow speeds.
- 3. During approach, while slowing to approach speed, the pilot does not add flaps soon enough or does not closely watch the energy trend.
- 4. The flight crew is instructed to climb to an altitude within the airplane's certified flight envelope, but is not possible to maintain given the weight and temperature conditions.

Capt. Haitham Misto Chief Commissioner

